UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GORDON CAPPS	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV 11 4914 EDL
v.	
MOTION PICTURE INDUSTRY PENSION & HEALTH PLANS AND BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	/
	net and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the fo	following ADR process:
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6 (Note: Parties who believe that an earl appreciably more likely to meet their n	n (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is leeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an le this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	entify process and provider)
I IIvaic ADR (pieuse iue	
	sion by: we (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	e
Dated: January 10, 2012	/s/ Glenn R. Kantor Attorney for Plaintiff Gordon Capps
Dated: January 10, 2012	/s/ Michael A. Vanic Attorney for Defendant Motion Picture Industry Health Plan

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pu	irsuant to the Stipulation above, the	captioned matter is herel	by referred to:	
	Non-binding Arbitration			
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENF	\mathbb{E})		
X	Mediation			
	Private ADR			
ъ	11: C ADD :			
_	eadline for ADR session			
$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{X}}}$	90 days from the date of this of	rder.		
	other			
IT IS SO (ORDERED.			
11 13 30 (OKDEKED.			
		\$1.40		1
Dated: Jai	nuary 11, 2012	Elizahn	U, L	-gmili
		UNIZED STATI	ES JUD	GE
			MAGISTRATE	